Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/029,187	RIVERS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter A. Hruskoci	1724	

All Participants:

(1) *Peter A. Hruskoci*.

(2) *David L. Mossman*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *29 October 2003*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
1, 3, and 7

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
It was agreed to amend claims 1, 3, and 7, and the specification for the sake of clarity and accuracy, and to cancel non-elected claims 11-19, as shown in the Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Peter A. Hruskoci
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)